

**REMARKS**

The specification at pages 4, 19 and 21 and claim 3 have been amended to correct an inadvertent error in the expression defining the thickness reduction ratio. This is an obvious error in that one of ordinary skill would immediately recognize that the thickness reduction ratio must be based on the starting thickness  $t_1$ . The correct expression is also derived from the calculation made in Example 3 at pages 20-21 of the specification, where  $t_1$  is 0.48 mm and  $t_2$  is 0.38 mm, yielding a thickness reduction ratio  $(0.48 \text{ mm} - 0.38 \text{ mm})/0.48 \text{ mm} \times 100 = 20\%$ . Clearly, in making the calculation,  $t_1$  is used in the denominator.

The specification at page 7 has also been amended to correct an inadvertent error. As clear from Figs. 1 and 2, those skilled in the art understand that when the pole portion is drawn, it becomes thinner than the panel portion that is dented inward of the container.

The amendment to page 19 also corrects an inadvertent error. For a 5% thickness reduction ratio where  $t_1$  is 0.5 mm,  $t_2$  is necessarily 0.475 mm.

The amendment to page 21 also corrects an inadvertent error. The correct value of 0.01 is described in the column of Example 4 in Table 1 at page 23.

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT  
U.S. Application No.: 10/510,111

No new matter has been added. Entry of the amendments is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Abraham J. Rosner  
Registration No. 33,276

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: April 25, 2005